

STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT

STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 33 COMPLETED FORMS: 25

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

RESPONSE KEY

NAME: Rajagopalan, Shruti
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 306
 SECTION: 002

S . . . STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D . . . STRONGLY DISAGREE . . . (1)
 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6)

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

	1	2	3	4	5
Am't of effort put into the course: 1=very low 5=very high	0	2	3	11	9
Times absent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more	18	5	1	0	1
Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A	0	0	2	12	10
Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad	0	10	12	3	0
Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4	0	2	2	17	4
Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other	0	2	3	19	0

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
1. Course requirements and expectations were clear	0.0	4.0	12.0	32.0	52.0	0.0	CLASS	4.32	5.0	0.84	25
	1.1	2.0	6.1	22.3	68.5	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.55	5.0	0.79	2,099
	0.8	1.8	6.3	21.1	69.9	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.57	5.0	0.76	27,175
	1.3	2.4	7.4	22.2	66.7	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.51	5.0	0.83	59,642
2. The course was well organized	0.0	4.0	16.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	CLASS	4.16	4.0	0.84	25
	1.7	3.3	8.3	24.6	62.2	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.42	5.0	0.90	2,094
	1.3	2.7	8.8	22.7	64.5	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.46	5.0	0.86	27,083
	1.9	3.3	9.8	23.4	61.6	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.40	5.0	0.93	59,452
3. The instructor helped me to better understand the course material	0.0	0.0	24.0	28.0	48.0	0.0	CLASS	4.24	4.0	0.84	25
	2.2	4.5	11.1	23.5	58.5	0.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.32	5.0	0.99	2,092
	1.4	3.1	9.2	22.3	63.8	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.44	5.0	0.88	27,117
	1.8	3.6	10.1	23.1	61.1	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.39	5.0	0.93	59,536
4. Feedback (comments and suggestions written on papers, solutions provided, class disc, etc) was helpful	0.0	16.0	20.0	28.0	32.0	4.0	CLASS	3.79	4.0	1.10	25
	3.5	5.6	14.2	24.2	47.7	4.7	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.12	5.0	1.10	2,089
	1.8	3.9	10.8	22.0	58.6	2.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.36	5.0	0.96	27,083
	2.3	4.3	10.9	21.8	55.4	5.2	UNIVERSITY	4.31	5.0	1.00	59,364
5. The instructor showed respect for students	4.0	20.0	28.0	24.0	24.0	0.0	CLASS	3.44	3.0	1.19	25
	1.1	2.4	5.7	15.1	75.6	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.62	5.0	0.80	2,087
	0.8	1.1	3.4	12.3	82.4	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.74	5.0	0.65	27,054
	0.9	1.3	3.9	13.3	80.5	0.1	UNIVERSITY	4.71	5.0	0.69	59,381
6. The instructor was accessible in person or electronically	0.0	0.0	8.0	40.0	52.0	0.0	CLASS	4.44	5.0	0.65	25
	1.7	2.2	7.0	17.9	69.4	1.8	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.54	5.0	0.85	2,100
	0.7	1.5	5.1	16.4	75.1	1.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.66	5.0	0.71	27,020
	1.0	1.7	5.8	17.0	72.4	2.1	UNIVERSITY	4.61	5.0	0.76	59,240
7. The course grading policy was clear	0.0	0.0	16.0	40.0	44.0	0.0	CLASS	4.28	4.0	0.74	25
	1.1	1.4	7.4	20.2	69.7	0.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.56	5.0	0.78	2,105
	1.1	2.4	7.4	20.6	68.3	0.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.53	5.0	0.82	27,117
	1.5	2.7	7.8	20.4	66.7	0.9	UNIVERSITY	4.50	5.0	0.86	59,511
8. Graded work reflected what was covered in the course	0.0	4.0	12.0	28.0	56.0	0.0	CLASS	4.36	5.0	0.87	25
	1.1	2.5	8.2	20.6	66.8	0.7	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.51	5.0	0.84	2,088
	0.9	2.0	7.0	19.9	69.3	0.9	COL/SCHL/INST	4.56	5.0	0.78	26,976
	1.2	2.4	7.4	19.6	65.1	4.3	UNIVERSITY	4.51	5.0	0.84	59,133
9. The assignments helped me learn the material	0.0	0.0	8.3	37.5	54.2	0.0	CLASS	4.46	5.0	0.66	24
	2.7	3.7	10.2	22.1	55.8	5.5	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.32	5.0	1.01	2,076
	1.5	3.4	10.2	23.0	59.7	2.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.39	5.0	0.92	26,901
	1.8	3.6	10.1	22.6	58.0	3.9	UNIVERSITY	4.37	5.0	0.95	58,923
10. The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material	4.2	0.0	16.7	29.2	50.0	0.0	CLASS	4.21	4.5	1.02	24
	2.9	4.8	13.2	23.0	53.2	2.9	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.22	5.0	1.05	2,084
	2.4	4.3	11.9	23.6	55.4	2.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.28	5.0	1.00	26,882
	3.0	4.5	12.3	23.7	51.7	4.8	UNIVERSITY	4.22	5.0	1.05	58,969

SEMESTER: FALL 2010

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
 STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT
 STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 33 COMPLETED FORMS: 25

January 20, 2011
 72042110

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

NAME: Rajagopalan, Shruti
 COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences
 DEPT: Economics
 COURSE: ECON 306
 SECTION: 002

RESPONSE KEY

S . . . STRONGLY AGREE . . . (5)
 (4)
 (3)
 (2)
 D . . STRONGLY DISAGREE . . (1)
 NA . . .NOT APPLICABLE . . (6)

ITEMS	PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) D	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) S	(6) NA					
11. Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time	0.0	0.0	8.0	20.0	72.0	0.0	CLASS	4.64	5.0	0.65	25
	0.3	1.0	4.0	17.2	76.7	0.9	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.70	5.0	0.62	2,088
	1.2	2.0	6.7	20.3	68.5	1.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.55	5.0	0.81	26,902
	1.5	2.2	7.0	19.4	63.7	6.2	UNIVERSITY	4.51	5.0	0.86	58,958
12. The instructor covered the important aspects of the course	0.0	0.0	4.0	44.0	52.0	0.0	CLASS	4.48	5.0	0.58	25
	0.4	1.2	6.1	21.0	71.1	0.2	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.61	5.0	0.69	2,096
	0.5	1.2	5.3	18.7	74.0	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.65	5.0	0.69	27,108
	0.9	1.8	6.2	20.2	70.6	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.58	5.0	0.76	59,474
13. The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating	0.0	8.0	12.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	CLASS	4.12	4.0	0.94	25
	2.9	5.1	9.0	19.0	64.0	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.36	5.0	1.03	2,091
	2.4	3.7	9.4	20.6	63.8	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4.40	5.0	0.97	27,040
	2.9	4.2	10.4	21.4	60.8	0.2	UNIVERSITY	4.33	5.0	1.02	59,356
14. The ins'tr encouraged the students to be actively involved in material throug disc, assig, & other activ	0.0	4.2	8.3	20.8	66.7	0.0	CLASS	4.50	5.0	0.83	24
	3.2	4.6	12.2	21.6	56.8	1.6	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.26	5.0	1.05	2,079
	1.3	2.5	7.8	19.1	69.0	0.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.53	5.0	0.84	26,992
	1.7	3.0	8.7	19.7	66.5	0.4	UNIVERSITY	4.47	5.0	0.90	59,234

ITEMS	OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE PERCENTAGES						AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	# Resp.
	(1) POOR	(2)	(3) OK	(4)	(5) EX'L	(6) NA					
15. My overall rating of the teaching	0.0	13.0	21.7	26.1	39.1	0.0	CLASS	3.91	4.0	1.09	23
	2.9	3.4	11.9	22.1	59.7	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.32	5.0	1.00	2,068
	1.5	2.5	9.8	22.8	63.4	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.44	5.0	0.88	26,788
	2.0	3.0	10.6	23.4	61.0	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.38	5.0	0.93	58,754
16. My overall rating of this course	0.0	4.3	13.0	47.8	34.8	0.0	CLASS	4.13	4.0	0.83	23
	2.4	3.9	15.9	27.7	50.1	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.19	5.0	1.00	2,061
	1.9	3.5	15.3	28.6	50.7	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.23	5.0	0.96	26,651
	2.6	4.1	15.7	27.8	49.7	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.18	4.0	1.01	58,371

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.